

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRACEMARKS Washington, O.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 07/888,857 05/27/92 KWAK P53521 FRAHM, E EXAMINER E1M1/0124 ROBERT E. BUSHNELL LEVY, BUSHNELL, ZITO & GRANDINETTI PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1511 K ST., N.W. 8 STE. 425 2108 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 01/24/94 DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application, COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been avenined	Responsive to communication filed on	/- 1/2 93 . This action is marks final
	this action is set to axpire month(s),	<i>a</i> .
	onse will cause the application to become abandon	
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1: Notice of References Cited by Ex	xaminer, PTO-892. 2. 1 Notice	e re Patent Drewing, PTO-948.
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant,		e of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
5. Information on How to Effect Dra	wing Changes, PTO-14746	•
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION		
1. Claims	1-33	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims		are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims		have been cancelled.
3. Claims		are allowed.
<u> </u>		
		,
5. Claims	. 23	is are objected to.
6. Claims	1-33	are subject to restriction or alection requirement.
7. This application has been filed wi	ith informal drawings under 37:C;F.R. 1/85 which a	re acceptable for examination purposes.
8. Formal drawings are required in r		
<u> </u>	·	
are acceptable; not acce	eptabla (see axplanation or Notice re Patent Drawin	Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 thase drawings ng, PTO-948).
10. The proposed additional or subst	titute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on	hae (have) have : [7] approved by the
axaminer; disapproved by the		has (have) seen approved by the
115 Tha proposed drawing correction,	, filed $1-8\cdot93$ has been 🖄 app	roved; disapproved (sea axplanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of tha	claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified in, serial no; filed on	copy has been received not been received
	o be in condition for allowance axcept for formal ma er Ex parta Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in
14. Other		•

-2-

Serial Number: 07/888,857

Art Unit: 2108

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a video printer, classified in Class 358, subclass 296.

Group II. Claims 9-17 and 23-33, drawn to a color video printer, classified in Class 346, subclass 157.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations. (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the video printer of Group I does not require the color signal processing and luminance/chrominance processing as required by Groups II and III. The subcombination has separate utility such as color printing and color image reproduction.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial Number: 07/888,857

Art Unit: 2108

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format of an Abstract of the Disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said", should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The claim language "means" in lines 4 and 6 is improper.

- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 6. The drawings are objected to because figure 3 should be labeled "prior art" in accordance with its description in the specification as being conventional in the video printing art. Correction is required.
- 7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the description of figures 1-3 of a conventional color video printer at pages 3-8 of the specification (detailed description of the invention section) should be located in the "Background of the Invention" section; and claim 1 is improperly paragraphed. Appropriate correction is required.

Serial Number: 07/888,857

Art Unit: 2108

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Frahm whose telephone number is (703) 308-1317.

Lh

EF January 14, 1994 GEORGE H. MILLER, JR. SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 218

Henge H. Mille Jr.

-4-